arXiv:1611.00092v1 [math.DS] 1 Nov 2016

The Wasserstein distance between stationary measures associated to iterated function schemes on the unit interval

Italo Cipriano

November 2, 2016

Abstract

We consider an iterated function scheme composed of k contractions on the unit interval with disjoint images. We find the first Kantorovich-Wasserstein distance between the two stationary measures associated to the iterative function schemes when we choose two different configurations for the weights. In the case of $k = 2$ we can choose any constant weights $(p_1, p_2) \in (0, 1)^2$. In the case of $k \geq 3$ our results are limited only to certain constant weights that we identify.

1 Introduction

Let $T_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $i = 1, \ldots, k$ be a family of Lipschitz contractions, i.e.

$$Lip(T_i) := \sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|T_i(x) - T_i(y)|}{|x - y|} < 1.$$

We can assume without loss of generality that $T_i : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ and

$$\max\{T_i(0), T_i(1)\} \leq \max\{T_{i+1}(0), T_{i+1}(1)\} \tag{1}$$

with a suitable choice of coordinates. The set of contractions $\{T_1, \ldots, T_k\}$ is called an iterated function scheme.

Definition 1.1. *Let* $\overrightarrow{p} := (p_1, \ldots, p_k) \in (0,1)^k$ *be a probability vector. The unique stationary probability measure*

$$\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}} := \mu(T_1, \ldots, T_k, \overrightarrow{p})$$

associated to the contractions $(T_1, \ldots, T_k)$ *and the weights* $\overrightarrow{p}$ *is the unique solution of*

$$\int_0^1 f(x) d\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \int_0^1 f \circ T_i(x) d\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}(x) \tag{2}$$

for every continuous function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$. *(See [3]).*

We next consider a standard notion of distance.

Definition 1.2. *The Kantorovich-Wasserstein (or the first Kantorovich-Wasserstein or simply Wasserstein, see [4]) distance between two probability measures* μ *and* ν *on* $\mathbb{R}$ *with compact support can be defined by*

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) := \sup \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d\mu(x) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \nu(x) : Lip(f) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We have an useful characterisation of this distance.

Theorem 1.3 (Dall'Aglio-Vallender)**.** *Let* μ *and* ν *be probability measures on* $\mathbb{R}$. *Then*

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(t) - G(t)| dt, \tag{3}$$

where F *and* G *are the cumulative distribution functions of* μ *and* ν.

A proof can be found in [6] and [7]. In this paper, we only require a version for μ and ν probability measures on $[0,1]$. An elementary proof for this case was proposed by Jairo Bochi and it is included in [1].

Our main result consider a condition on the weight functions under which the maximiser function in the Wasserstein distance between stationary measures is either $x \mapsto x$ or $x \mapsto -x$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $T_1, \ldots T_k$ *be Lipschitz contractions on the unit interval* $[0,1]$ *such that*

$$T_i([0,1]) \cap T_j([0,1]) = \emptyset \text{ for all } i, j = 1, \ldots, k, i \neq j. \tag{4}$$

If $(\overrightarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{q})$ *is a pair of probability vectors in* $(0,1)^k$ *such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for every } m = 1, 2, \ldots, k, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ *or* $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^{\leq 0}$, *then*

$$W_1(\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}, \mu^{\overrightarrow{q}}) = \left| \int x d(\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}} - \mu^{\overrightarrow{q}})(x) \right|. \tag{6}$$

Remark 1.5. *If* $k = 2$, *then the condition (5) is always satisfied.*

An immediate consequence of this theorem is an explicit formulae for the Wasserstein distance between stationary measures of affine maps.

Corollary 1.6. *Let* $T_i : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ *be defined by* $T_i x = \rho_i x + t_i$, *where* $\rho_i \in (-1,1)$ *and* $t_i \in [0,1)$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, k$. *Suppose that* $\{T_1, \ldots, T_k\}$ *satisfies (1) and (4). If* $(\overrightarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{q})$ *is a pair of probability vectors in* $(0,1)^k$ *that satisfies (5), then*

$$W_1(\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}, \mu^{\overrightarrow{q}}) = \left| \frac{\sum_i p_i t_i}{1 - \sum_i p_i \rho_i} - \frac{\sum_i q_i t_i}{1 - \sum_i q_i \rho_i} \right|. \tag{7}$$

Corollary 1.6 is inspired by the pioneering work in [2], which includes an explicit formulae for the Wasserstein distance between stationary measures associated to affine contractions on the unit interval with the same rate of contraction and $k = 2$. It also generalises a result in [1], which consider the case of affine contractions on the unit interval with different rate of contraction and $k = 2$.

The author is grateful to both Mark Pollicott and Jon Fraser, as this work is entirely motivated by their questions.

2 Proofs

We start by proving a lemma similar to Lemma 3.2. in [Mark Pollicott and Italo Cipriano]. For this, let us introduce a definition.

Definition 2.1. *Let* $T_1, \ldots T_k$ *be Lipschitz contractions on* $[0,1]$ *and* $(\overrightarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{q})$ *be a pair of probability vectors in* $(0,1)^k$. *We define the function* $D^{(\overrightarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{q})} : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ *by*

$$D^{(\overrightarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{q})}(x) := (\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}} - \mu^{\overrightarrow{q}})[0, x].$$

Our lemma is the following.

Lemma 2.2. *If $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ satisfy (1) and (4) and $(\overrightarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{q})$ is a pair of probability vectors in $(0,1)^k$ that satisfies (5). Then $D^{(\overrightarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{q})}$ does not change of sign.*

Proof. Suppose without lost of generality that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i \geq 0 \text{ for every } m = 1, 2, \ldots, k.$$

We can find a probability vector $\overrightarrow{r} := (r_1, \ldots, r_{2k-1}) \in (0,1)^{2k-1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{l_1} r_i, & q_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} r_i, \\
p_2 &= \sum_{i=l_1+1}^{l_2} r_i, & q_2 &= \sum_{i=t_1+1}^{t_2} r_i, \\
&\vdots & &\vdots \\
p_k &= \sum_{i=l_{k-1}+1}^{l_k} r_i, & q_k &= \sum_{i=t_{k-1}+1}^{t_k} r_i,
\end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_k = 2k-1$, $1 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k = 2k-1$, and $t_i \leq l_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. We now consider the shift spaces $\Sigma_k := \{1, \ldots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $\Sigma_{2k-1} := \{1, \ldots, 2k-1\}^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$. On Σ_k we define the Bernoulli measure $\mu_{\overrightarrow{p}} := \prod_0^\infty (p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ and $\mu_{\overrightarrow{q}} := \prod_0^\infty (q_1, \ldots, q_k)$. On Σ_{2k-1} we define the Bernoulli measure $\mu_{\overrightarrow{r}} := \prod_0^\infty (r_1, \ldots, r_{2k-1})$. We proceed now to define two projections $\pi_{\overrightarrow{p}}, \pi_{\overrightarrow{q}} : \Sigma_{2k-1} \to \Sigma_k$ by

$$\pi_{\overrightarrow{p}}\left((i_n)_{n=0}^\infty\right) = (j_n)_{n=0}^\infty \text{ where } j_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_n \in [1, l_1], \\ 2 & \text{if } i_n \in [l_1+1, l_2], \\ \vdots & \\ k & \text{if } i_n \in [l_{k-1}+1, l_k], \end{cases}$$

and

$$\pi_{\overrightarrow{q}}\left((i_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\right) = (j_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{ where } j_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_n \in [1, t_1], \\ 2 & \text{if } i_n \in [t_1+1, t_2], \\ \vdots & \\ k & \text{if } i_n \in [t_{k-1}+1, t_k]. \end{cases}$$

There is a natural bijection between Σ_k and the limit set Λ of $T_1, \dots, T_k$ (recall by [3], the limit set Λ is the unique non-empty set that is invariant for $T_1, \dots, T_k$, i.e. $\cup_{i=1}^{k} T_i\Lambda = \Lambda$). This bijection is given by the map $f : \Sigma_k \to \Lambda$, defined by $f(x_0, x_1, \dots) = \lim_{n\to\infty} T_{x_0} \circ \cdots \circ T_{x_n}([0,1])$. It is easy to prove that for $x, y \in \Sigma_k$, if $x \in \pi_p \circ \pi_q^{-1} y$, then $x \leq y$ with respect to the lexicographic order. This implies that the map $g : \Lambda \to \Lambda$, defined by

$$g(x) := \sup\{y \in \Lambda : x = f \circ \pi_p \circ \pi_q^{-1} \circ f^{-1}(y)\},$$

is monotone, moreover, $g(x) \leq x$ for every $x \in \Lambda$. We extend the map g to the unit interval by $\tilde{g} : [0,1] \to [0,1]$, $\tilde{g}(t) := \sup\{g(x) : x \in \Lambda, x \leq t\}$. Clearly, we have $\tilde{g}(x) \leq x$ for every $x \in [0,1]$. Finally, we observe that $\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}} = \mu_{\overrightarrow{p}} \circ f^{-1}$, $\mu^{\overrightarrow{q}} = \mu_{\overrightarrow{q}} \circ f^{-1}$, $\mu_{\overrightarrow{p}} = \mu_{\overrightarrow{r}} \circ \pi_{\overrightarrow{p}}^{-1}$ and $\mu_{\overrightarrow{q}} = \mu_{\overrightarrow{r}} \circ \pi_{\overrightarrow{q}}^{-1}$. Therefore, if $t \in [0,1]$,

$$\mu^{\overrightarrow{q}}[0,t] \leq \mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}[0, \tilde{g}(t)] \leq \mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}[0,t],$$

which concludes the proof. □

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is then direct from Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 2.2. The proof of Corollary 1.6 follows from Theorem 1.4 and the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $T_i : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be defined by $T_i x = \rho_i x + t_i$, where $\rho_i \in (-1,1)$ and $t_i \in [0,1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Suppose that $\{T_1, \dots, T_k\}$ satisfies (1) and $\overrightarrow{p}$ is a probability vectors in $(0,1)^k$. Then*

$$\int_0^1 x d\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}(x) = \frac{\sum_i p_i t_i}{1 - \sum_i p_i \rho_i}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. In order to prove (8) we use the definition of stationary measure to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x d\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}(x) &= \sum_i p_i \int_0^1 T_i(x) d\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}(x) \\ &= (\sum_i p_i \rho_i) \int_0^1 x d\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}(x) + \sum_i p_i t_i, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\int_0^1 x d\mu^{\overrightarrow{p}}(x) = \frac{\sum_i p_i t_i}{1 - \sum_i p_i \rho_i},$$

as claimed. □

References

[1] M. Pollicott and I. Cipriano, Stationary measures associated to analytic iterated function schemes, preprint.

[2] J. Fraser, First and second moments for self-couplings and Wasserstein distances, *Mathematishe Nachrichten*, 288 (2015), 2028-2041.

[3] J. Hutchinson, Fractals and self similarity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 30 (1981), 713-747.

[4] C. Villani, *Topics in Optimal Transportation*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 58, *Amer. Math. Soc.* 2003.

[5] O. Jenkinson and M. Pollicott, Calculating Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets and Kleinian limit sets, *American Journal of Mathematics*, 124 (2002), 495-545.

[6] G. Dall'Aglio, "Sugli estremi dei momenti delle funzioni di ripartizione doppia" Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. , 3 : 1 (1956), 33-74.

[7] S.S. Vallander, Calculation of the Wasserstein distance between probability distributions on the line, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen., 18: 4 (1973), 824-827.